



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/651,305	08/28/2003	Chia-Gee Wang	U 014775-5	9020
140	7590	02/26/2009		
LADAS & PARRY LLP 26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023			EXAMINER POLANSKY, GREGG	
			ART UNIT 1614	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 02/26/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/651,305	WANG, CHIA-GEE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	GREGG POLANSKY	1614	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) GREGG POLANSKY.

(3) CLIFFORD MASS.

(2) ARDIN MARSCHEL.

(4) CHIA-GEE WANG and TOM VULLO.

Date of Interview: 18 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mills (US Patent No. 6,224,848), Wang (US Patent No. 5,627,871), and Cash (US Patent No. 6,366,801).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed Enablement, Written Description, and Obviousness rejections of the previous Office Action and arguments by Applicant with regard to the rejections. The Office will fully consider the merits of these arguments and any other arguments and declarations submitted by Applicant (which is forthcoming with a request for continued examination, as was indicated by Mr. Mass).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Gregg Polansky/ Examiner, Art Unit 1614
--	---